

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA SOUTH BEND DIVISION

978378

Judge Robert J. Miller

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v s

CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION a/k/a/ CONRAIL,

Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff,

vs.

PENN CENTRAL CORPORATION, et al.,

Third Party Defendants,)

CASE NO.: \$90-00056

The deposition of STANLEY EDWARD WELTER,

Date: Wednesday, May 26, 1993

Time: 9:25 o'clock a.m.

Place: 205 West Jefferson Boulevard

Suite 312

South Bend, Indiana 46601

Called as a witness by the Plaintiff, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, pursuant notice duly served.

+ + + 000 + + +

Before Lois A. Schoenbeck Notary Public, State of Indiana

APPEARANCES:

MR: STEVEN C. MASON
ASSISTANT REGIONAL COUNSEL
U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Office of Regional Counsel. CS-3T
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604,

For the Plaintiff;

MR: JAMES A. ERMILIO
BINGHAM, DANA & GOULD
Suite 1200
1550 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005,

For Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff;

MR. PIERCE E. CUNNINGHAM
FROST & JACOBS
2500 Central Trust Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202,

For Third Party Defendant.

$I \qquad N \qquad D \ . \quad E \qquad X$

THE DEPOSITION OF

STANLEY EDWARD WELTER

DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Mason		В	v	M	r		M	a	3	o i	a														Page	7.0
By Mr. Mason	F U	RT	Н	ER		E	í.A	M	1	N a	Υ	Ι	ON.													
By Mr. Mason		В	У	M	r	•	E	r	m	i.	l i	0	•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	'•	•	Page	6 9
By Mr. Mason	•	В	У	M	r	•	C	u	n	n :	i n	g	ha	m		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	Page	64
By Mr. Mason	RΕ	CR	O	SS	-	Εž	ĊΑ	M	I	N.	١T	1	ΰN													
By Mr. Mason		В	У	M	r	•	L.I	a:	S 1	O I	1	•	•		•	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	rage	υ <i>(</i>
By Mr. Mason		ם	**	_м	'n	_	A.C			~ .		•		• •								•			L. a. m. a	
By Mr. Mason	RE	DΙ	R	EC	Τ.	5	ΞX	Al	М	I I	V.A	Т	ΙĠ	N												
By Mr. Mason		В	У	M	r	•	E	r	m	1.	Ιi	0	•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Page	5 1
By Mr. Mason		В	у	M	r	•	Ü	u!	n	n :	in	इ	ha.	m		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	Раgе	35
By Mr. Mason	C R																									
	~ -	·		_						_																
		В	y	M	r	•	M	\mathbf{a}	5	O I	3	•	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Fage	+
	L, I																									

EXHIBITS

None

STANLEY EDWARD WELTER, 1 called as a witness by the Plaintiff, Feing first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MASON: Good morning, Mr. Welter. My name is Steve Mason. I'm representing the United States Environmental Protection Agency. I'm going to be asking you some questions 10 11 today about your employment and some occurrences 1.2 out at the Elkhart rail vard. Would you please tell us your full name for 1.3 14 the record; Stanley Edward Welter. 15 16 What is your address. Mr. Welter? 17 (b) (6) 18 What is your telephone number? (b) (6) 19 20 I want to ask you if you've ever provided 21 deposition testimony before? 22 No. 2.3 Have you ever testified in a trial?

Do you understand that you've taken an oath

24

25

No.

 \mathbf{A}

Have you had discussions with anyone regarding the deposition today? 3 A No. Have you talked with any former employees at the rail yard concerning occurrences at the rail yard during your employment there, especially with regard to the contamination at the yard. 8 4 No. 9 I want to ask you some questions just to get a 10 picture of your personal history. 11 Where did you attend high school? 12 Mishawaka High School. A Did you graduate? 1.3 I did. 14 \mathbf{A} Did you attend college? 15No, I didn't. 16 \boldsymbol{A} 1 7 Have you ever had any training or specialized instruction for the use of hazardous materials? 18 19 A No. What was your first job after high school? 20 Out of high school? Oh, my. Bercliff 21 A 22 Industries. 23 When did you first start working at the rail Q vard? 24 25 1958. Ä

- 1 Q Who was your employer at that time" A Oh, let's see. Harlan Wiegarnt. Who were you working for. Who owned the rail yard at that time? 5 A At that time? 6 Right. 7 New York Central. A 8 Were you working for New York Central at the
- 10 A Yes.

 \mathcal{F}

1.1

12

1.1

16

20

2.1

2 2

2.3

24

25

- Q Do you remember approximately what year that happened?
- 13 || A Oh, let's see. It was in the late '60s.

time it became Penn Central?

- What was your last day out at the rail yard?
- 15 A My last day?
 - Q Yes. Are you still working at the rail yard?
- 17 A Oh, yes, I'm still employed.
- 18 Q What's your current position?
- 19 A Carman.
 - Q When you first started working at the rail yard in 1958, what was your position at that time?
 - A Well, I guess I was called a carman. I worked at the cleanout for about a month or two and then went directly to the rip track.
 - What were your responsibilities as a carman?

- Repair rolling stock. 1 A And what do you mean by Trepair rolling stock ż Wheels, brakes beams, welding, necessary Λ ŀ application of sill steps, grab irons, whatever. 5 نی What are grab irons? Grab handles to grab onto and hold onto when 6 A ĩ you're stepping on a car. ġ Did you do work on the actual car itself? 9 \boldsymbol{A} Oh, yes, sure. 10 What kind of repairs would be done to the car? 11 Whatever was required. Α 12 Can you provide an example? well, you're talking about now or just 13 A14 progressively through the years? 15 ·ŵ Yes, just progressively through the years. 16 Basic wheel change, periodic air brake work, \boldsymbol{F} 17 which was every four years at that time -wheels would get damaged or worn out. 18 19 else? We changed journal bearings. 20 What are journal bearings? 21 It's a shaped bearing that sets on top of the 22 axle within a box -- contained within a box --23 and it lubricates the axle as it turns.
 - Q And you say you replaced them?
- 25 | A Yes.

2.4

_ -.

2

õ

n

8

10

11

1.2.

ن ۱

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q Why would they need to be replaced?
- A They were done periodically, for wear. And then as they became a better product, they were put in when needed, changed when needed.
 - So was there a regular interval at which those needed to be replaced, or were they inspected to see if they were in good order?
 - A There was a periodic change, yes, periodic change of lubrication and changing the compound that saturated the oil and held the oil.
 - Q Do you recall how often?
- A It was a four-year plan then, a four-year periodic change.

Of course, everything is wheel bearings now.

- When you first started working at the car shop, who was your immediate supervisor?
- A The general foreman was Harlan Wiegarnt.
- As general foreman, what was he in charge of,

 just the car shop?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Have you had other positions at the rail yard?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q So you've been a carman throughout your 25 employment there?

A Correct.

1

3

ł

5

 ϵ_i

7

ÿ

3

10

17

18

19

- Q And did you inspect cars also as part of your job?
 - A No.
 - Who would do the inspections?
 - A Well, we have inspectors out in the yard where trains are dispatched, and they do the daily inspection of trains, getting them prepared to dispatch.
 - Are they employed through the car shop?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q Did you have occasion to have to do repairs on tanker cars?
- 14 | A I repair every day on cars, yes.
- What kinds of repairs would be done on a tanker car?
 - A Wheels, brake beams, running boards, depending on whatever they come in for, loose running boards.
 - Q Did you ever do repairs on valves?
- 21 | A No.
- 22 | Q What about repairs on panels?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q If the car needed to be repaired, how would that
 25 be brought to your attention as a car man?

- A How would it be brought to my attention:
- ₩ Q Right.

1

3

4

õ

 \mathfrak{b}

8

9

10

11

12

1 3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Well, they are put on classification tracks and then brought into the rip area to be repaired, daily.
- Q And is there something that's placed on the car, or do you receive a report from an inspector that identifies that car?
- A There's an identification tag put on the car, a paper tag, by the inspector that issued the defect.
- And would that tag identify the repairs that it needed or --
- A That particular item that he found, yes, that he felt was defective.
- When would that tag be put on a car, where approximately in the yard would that first happen?
- A Wherever it was discovered -- the defect. When the train is made up, they inspect the trains for defects.
- Where would that most likely first happen -where it would be discovered? In the inbound track or what?
- A Well, it is now. Back then, in the outbounds.

- "Back then" being what time period: 1 Ŵ A Early '50s -- late '50s, early '60s. So in the early 'SUs, is it your recollection 4 that trains would not be inspected as they first 5 came onto the vard? Well, no. I hired in in '58, so I can't speak 6 A for the early '50s. I'm sorry. They were 7 8 inspected as they were made up. 9 You'll have to help me. I'm not real familiar 1.0 with the operations. 11 When it's made up, what do you mean by that? 1.2 13 The trains are brought from the classification 1.4 yard. Cars are put together on tracks, either 1.5 eastbound or westbound. And then our men would 16 inspect them. `While they are on the outbound tracks? 17 1.8 While they are on those outbound tracks getting A 19 them ready to dispatch. 20 Do you know approximately when that practice 2.1 changed so that trains were inspected as they 22 came onto the yard. 2.3 No, I do not.
 - Q Do you ever recall doing repairs on cars that

2.4

25 might have been damaged on the yard while they

1

.

ń

6

8

Ý

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were being moved around?

- A Yeah.
- Q In what situations might that car have been damaged?
 - A Hump damage.
 - Q And describe, if you would, for me how hump damage would occur.
 - A Well, do you know what a hump is?
 - Basically, I do, but why don't you explain it to me as you perceive it?
 - A Well, this is a classification, and the hump leads to 72 tracks. And they're dispatched to those tracks from this one hump. And sometimes there's damage, sometimes not.
 - What situation would cause the damage?
 - A I don't know because I'm not working in that vicinity. I'm a half mile, a mile away from the classification yard so I don't see those situations.
 - Q But did you ever hear about -- I mean, you would go and look at a car that might be damaged during humping; is that correct?
 - A No, I do not leave my working facility. I stay in the rip track.
 - Q But if a car was damaged during the humping

process and came to the car shop, would you know 1 how it was damaged? No, I would not ... 3 \boldsymbol{A} 4 You wouldn't talk to the inspector? ٠ō I am there to repair the damage that is there or A ô replace whatever needs to be replaced. I'm not 7 involved in inspection. 8 Would there be anything on the car identifying Ü g that this car needed repair that would say how 10 it was damaged? No. 11 Α 1.2 But you've heard about cars being damaged by . 13 humping? 14 Ł. I've seen cars that were damaged. By humping? 15 I have to assume that's what it was. How else? 16 A 17 What kinds of damage would occur to a car during humping? 18 Oh, ladders bent, sill steps bent. 19 A And what's a sill step? 20 It just hangs down from the car that you put 2122 your foot in for safety purposes. 23 Uncoupling levers, some minor end damages. End damage, is that to like the wheel assembly, 24

or would that be to the car itself, to the box

25

? .

•		or ene trank
. 2	A	No. It just means the walk board across the
3		end.
4	ધ્ય	Did you ever see a car that had its actual box
ō		or the actual tank that was damaged as a result
6		of humping?
7	A	Not as a result of humping, no.
ಕ	Q .	Did you ever hear about a car that had been tor
9	,	open or a tank that had been damaged as a resul
10		of humping?
11	A	Yes.
1/2	Ü	When would that have been, do you recall?
13	A	Late '60s.
14	Q	Do you know what kind of car it was?
15	A	It was a tank car.
16	Q	How did you hear about that?
17	A	It was on the track I'm designated to work on
18		the day the following day, evidently.
19	ବ	So the track that you were to work on, what
20		would that be?
21	A	Track eight in the rip track.
2 2	9	And what's the rip track area?
23	Α.	It consists of four, five, six, seven, eight
2.4		tracks: four within a building, and the other
2 5		three are outlying tracks.

And "within a building," what building would ୍ୟ 1 that be? The repair facility. Ė. 4 Or the car shop? Car shop. 5 \mathbf{A} So did the tracks actually go into the car shop: A They do. This tank car that you mentioned on your track, 8 9 what was the damage done to it? 10 A The end was split. Could you describe for me what you mean by 11 "split"? 12 The end cap was split in the center and 1 5 \mathbf{A} stretched open. . 14 15 What's the end cap? (a) The one end of a tank car has a cap on it. 16 Α 17 a cylinder, whatever size diameter the car is. 18 And the cap on the end is a welded cap on that 19 end -- or on each end of the car. 20 And you said it was split? 21 It was split. 22 Was it split enough to be able to see into the car itself? 23 24 You could.

Was there anything coming out of the split?

2.5

ä

ñ

1.0

2.5

- Q Do you know whether there were any contents in the tank:
- A I do not, no.
 - Do you recall any conversations about how that happened, how the tank was split?
- A No, I do not.
- Q Do you recall seeing anything on the tank car, any labeling, that would identify what was in it?
- 11 A Not when I saw it, no.
- 12 Q What about its destination?
- 13 | A I don't know.
- Do you know what repairs you did do to that tank car:
- 16 A We straightened safety appliances that were bent
 17 and prepared it to leave for its destination or
 18 back to its owner, whichever.
- 19 Q So what kind of repairs were done to the end?
- 20 | A None.
- 21 | Q Why would that be?
- A I have no idea. We were told what to do and what they wanted done on it and that's what we did.
 - Q Who would have told you that?

assumption to the effect but did not see

any car that was damaged.

1		·
2	િ	That is Mr. Wiegarnt?
3	A	That's Mr. Wiegarnt.
4	ŵ	Now, did you tell me that you understood that it
5.		was damaged during humping?
6	· A	I do not know if it was.
ī	w	So
8	A	I didn't see the car until the damage had been
-9		done, so I don't know.
. U	ધ	So basically, then, you're sort of assuming that
. 1		that's when it would have been damaged was
. 2		during thumping or somewhere in the yard?
13	A	Well, I can't assume that because I didn't see
. 4		the car when it entered the yard.
5	ବ	But you mentioned earlier, though, that you
. 6		recall that this particular tank car was damaged
Ļī		while humping. I'm just trying to figure out
. 8		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection. I don't
. 9		think he said that.
0	A	No, I did not.
1		MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't like to
2		object, Steve, except in severe cases. But
23		I think he stated that he made an

24

25

The general foreman.

MR. MASON: That's what I was trying to 1 find out. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Go ahead. BY MR. MASON: 5 So after this tank car would have been repaired, where would it go? 6 A. Back to the owner, I assume. What would be your follow-up responsibilities × after the repair? 9 10 I have no follow-up responsibilities. Mine is 11 just repair. Would you fill out a form that the repair was 1 4 donel ز ا 1.4 No. \mathbf{A} 15 Do you know whether a form would be filled out that the repair was done? 16 1 7 Local supervision would have billing on it, yes. A 18 Q-Do you know who would have handled that, which 'individual? 19 20 Not at the time, no, I can't remember. 21 And again, you believe that this was in the late Q '60s? 22 23 Yes. Α 24 Can you be any more specific? 25 No, just late '60s.

	}	I	
ר ק	1	କ	What other Jobs would you perform in connection
<u></u>	2		with working in the car shop?
	3	A	I do repair and welding.
	4	(3)	Did you ever work on the wrecking grew!
	5	A	As an extra person I did. yes.
	6	ଦ୍	Do you know approximately how many times? Is it
	7		pretty infrequent?
	8	A	Yeah, it was. Because everybody at that time
	9		had mucho seniority more than I did.
	10	ହ	Do you recall working on any wrecks in the late
	11		'60s?
•	12	A	No.
	1 3	ହ	And working for the wrecking crew, what would be
	1.1		your job?
	15	A	Ground man.
·	16	Q	What would that involve?
	1.7	A	Cable hauling and hooking to cars that were off
	18		the track.
	19	Q	How would a wrecked car be handled?
	20	A	In what respect?
	21	Q	If there was major damage done to a car, what
	22		would the wrecking crew do?
	23	A	The wrecking crew's job was to put the cars back
	2.4		on the track and they were taken to whatever
	2 5		vicinity the wreck was in the closest car shop

The closest car shop. Was there more than one A Sure. (Continuing) -- area on the rail yard where ļ there were repairs done: õ in Elkhart? ñ А Yes. 8 No, just one. A What if a car was too badly damaged to be re-9 10 railed, what would happen to it? 1.1 It would be loaded on a flat car. A 1.2 . Q And then do you know what would happen to it 13 then? After disposition, it was either sent to 14 A whatever disposition was requested, to either 15 the company owner or salvage. 16 Do you recall any accidents involving tank cars 17 that you worked on as part of a wrecking crew? 18 19 No. A Do you recall sort of the general time frame of 20 21 when you first would have been a part of a wrecking crew? 22 Let's see. Probably '72, '73, '74, around that 23 A 24 time.

Were employees in the car shop divided into

		·
1		specialties()
2	A	No.
3	Q	Were there people that were electricians by
4		trade?
5	A	They are not part of the car shop, no.
Ġ	Q	Where do they work out of?
<i>ī</i> .	A	They are separate from us. They have their own
8		facility, their own group of people.
9	Q	Do you know what the group is called?
10	A	They don't come under our jurisdiction, under
1 1		car craft jurisdiction.
12	(4)	Now, has that been the same pattern during your
1 3		employment at the rail yard?
1 4	A	Yes, it's always been that way.
15	ୁ ହ	Did you ever, as an employee of the car shop, do
16		electrical repairs?
1 7	A	No.
18	Q	So as I understand it, electrical repairs would
19		not be done through the car shop?
20	A	No.
2 1	Q	In connection with the repairs that you did do
2° 2		in the car shop, did you ever use liquid
23		materials during the repairs to clean parts?
24	A	Yes.
2 5	Q	Do you know what they were?

No. Cleaning fluids, is all.

1

 \mathbf{A}

What specifically would these cleaning fluids be used for? 4 We used to clean boxes, which are the journal 5 boxes, When we did the periodic changeover, ö pads and new brass, we would blow out the interior residue, the old oil, the old water, with an air and solvent gun. 8 You would blow it out. Would there be --9 10 A catch pan under. What would you use to blow it out with? 11 It was designed gun with a collecting tube --12 one with air, one with solvent -- and it 1.3 collected into a single tube. 14 15 So it was like a hose? 16 Yeah, it was a hose with a wand on the end. 17 So the air would come from what, there would be 18 a compressor tank? 19 We had major compressors. yes, that supplied 20 air. 21 And you said that a solvent was combined with Q 22 the air: is that correct? 23 That's correct. Α 24 How was the solvent contained? What kind of 25 container was it in?

- I don't know. It was an overhead system that 1 come from a supply tank. 3 So there was a tank up above? 1 No. There was a tank in the building somewhere. 5 Could you see the tank: That was not my department. That was handled by \ddot{o} the storehouse. They did all purchasing and filling of those supplies, and we just used the 8 material. 9 Was there a special track where journal boxes 10 Ü 1.1 would be cleaned? 1.2 No. \mathbf{A} 13 So just --14 Indiscriminately, all four tracks. A Now, are all four tracks contained within the 15 car shop? 16 Three within the building, one outside the 17 18 building. 19 Now, the one outside of the building, would that 20 receive any particular type of repair? 21 Mostly open-top loads, which would be anything 22 like lumber, piping, flat iron, anything put on a flat car. 23
 - What was the car shop floor like?
- 25 Cement floor. \mathbf{A}

24

A

- I Q So the track would run through the dement floor?
 - A Yes.

1

5

Ü

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

1.4

17

18

- Q Would oil from the journal boxes or from any other source accumulate on the floor?
- A Sure.
- Would that be cleaned?
- A Periodically.
 - Q Do you know how often approximately?
 - A Oh, twice a month probably, depending on the severity of it.
 - Q Do you ever recall a practice where, when journal boxes were cleaned, there might not have been a catch pan underneath it? Did you ever see that?
- 15 A Did I ever see that? I can't say no because,
 16 yes, I had seen it.
 - Q You had seen where journal boxes would be cleaned without a catch pan; is that correct?
- 19 A Sure.
- 20 And if a catch pan was used, would that collect
 21 all the material that was used in cleaning the
 22 journal boxes, or would there be some that would
 23 just be lost?
- 24 | A Most of the time, yes.
 - Q What would happen with the contents of the catch

1 pan? 2 It would be dumped in a reclaim or recycle area. What kind of area, like a drum? Yeah, they have drums. Α 5 Do you know what would happen to that? No, I don't. 6 Λ Now, as I understand it, you mentioned that there was a solvent used to clean the journal Я boxes: is that correct? 9 10 Correct. Do you know that it was a solvent? 11 12 Α Well, I really don't, but it did a cleaning job 13 and air itself would not clean it. Do you know the name of the material? 14 Q 15 No, I don't. \boldsymbol{A} 16 Whose responsibility would it be to insure that 17 the tank was full of this solvent? 18 Stores department. ŀ. 19 How would they fill the tank, do you know? Out of 55-gallon drums. 20Where would those drums come from on the yard? 21 Was there a storehouse for those materials? 22 23 Α Stores department had those materials, yes. Was that a separate building? 24 25 Well, it's part of our building, but it's a

A

1	1	with water.
2	Q	Do you know what cleaning fluid was used?
3	A	No, I don't.
-1	Q.	Was it the same material that was used in the
5		air/solvent mixture for the journal bexes:
6	A	No.
7	Q	How would the cleaning fluid be put on the
8		floor:
Ą	A	It was just dispensed out of 55-gallon drums
10		with a wand.
11	Q	Do you recall any labels on these drums?
12	A	No. There were labels, but I don't remember
13		what they said.
1.4	Q	And you don't remember what the cleaner was
15		called.
16	A	No, I don't.
17	ହ	Does the term "Class-C solvents" ring a bell?
18	A	No.
19		MR. CUNNINGHAM: The answer is no?
20		THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY M	IR. MASON:
22	Q	Do you recall whether or not that cleaner had
23		particular smell or an odor to it?
24	A	The only thing. I remember is it was brown in
2 5		color.
	11	\cdot

	1	କ	Now, this brown-colored cleaner, was it used
	2		when you started working at the rail yard in
	.ز.		158?
	4	A	No.
-	5	<u> </u> 	About '60, I'd say, or somewhere in the
	5		early 60s.
	7	Q	Do you recall what might have been used before
	ક		that, before the early '60s?
	9	A	The plant was so new that we took better care of
	10		it then, I guess. We were not quite so liberal
	1 1	· I	with leaving stuff on the floor. We used to
	12		clean it daily.
	1 3	ବ	Whose responsibility would it have been to clean
	14		the floor?
	1 6	A	It was done on a weekend by the car crew that
	16		was there on the weekend.
	1 7	ધ	So that track wasn't assigned to any one
	1 ខ		specific person?
	19	A	No.
	20	Q	Did you actually participate in cleaning the

floor?

I did.

21

22

23

24

At any time while you were employed at the rail yard and were cleaning the floor, do you recall

whether you wore protective clothing or not? 25

- Q Do you remember there being any change in the materials that were used to clean the floor?
- A Are you speaking of now or back then?
- Q Well, you stated that you had used this brown material in the early '60s.

Do you recall when the use of that was discontinued or stopped, if it ever was?

- A It was stopped in the late '70s, early '80s.
- Do you remember how that material would be switched over? Would you be told we're no longer going to use material "X," we're going to use material "Y"? Do you recall that?
- A No.

4

õ

ь

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q So who would make that decision?
- 17 A Whoever was in charge of the facility at that time.
 - And by "in charge of the facility at that time,"
 do you mean in charge of the car shop?
 - A Yes.
 - I think you stated that Mr. Wiegarnt was in charge of the car shop when you started; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.

Q Who followed Mr. Wiegarnt?

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A Oh, I can't tell you. It's been too many years ago.
 - Do you recall who was in charge of the car shop in the mid to late '70s'
 - A No, I'm sorry, I don't.
 - Now, you stated that in cleaning out journal boxes there was an air/solvent mixture.

Did that process change at any time while you were working in the car shop to where you were using something different to clean journal boxes?

- A No. The process changed when roller bearings come into play.
- What time period was that?
- A Late '70s -- early '70s, about '74 or '75, '76, somewhere around there we begin to see more roller bearings.
 - Q But did there continue to be cars that had journal boxes after that time?
- A Yes.
- Q And would they continue to be cleaned out with this air/solvent mixture?
- 24 | A No.
- 25 | Q What would be used?

They then went to an integrated pad with a i Asponge, and there was no residue tromithat then. Ì It was self-contained, and those were changed when necessary. ł 5 So there was a pad actually inside the journal box? b 7 Yes. And then how would that be cleaned? 8 They were pre-lubricated. And when it become 9 A 10 necessary, when they become torn or dry of oil, then they would be replaced with new pads. 11 1.2 was there any need or were any cleaning operations done to the journal boxes with the 1.3 pad in there? 14 15 No. Λ Have you ever heard of a material called TCE or 16 0 1 7 trichloroethylene? 18 A Not in relation to my job. I've heard of it. 19 yes. Have you ever heard of it at the Elkhart yard? 20 2.1 No. Α You have heard of it in connection with any 22

Q What about carbon tetrachloride? Have you ever

spills at the rail yard at any time?

23

24

No.

A

A A thousand feet.

1

1

5

ñ

9

10

11

15

16

17

22

23

24

- Q Do you know when they were buried?
- A They were put in when the yard was built.
- tanks. I'm talking about the underground fuel
 - A The tank cars were put in when the yard was built.
 - ų How do you know that?
 - A They were there. The yard was built in '57 -- well, almost finished in '57, and they were there then.
- 12 Q And you started working at the rail yard when?
- A I started in April of '58, and they were there
 when I hired in.
 - So from the first day you walked on the rail yard, you saw buried tank -- you saw the cars?
 - A Not the first day, no.
 - 18. | Q Well, do you remember approximately --
 - The track that I worked on was adjacent -- was
 the closest track to where these tanks were, and
 they were there.
 - Q At that time when you first worked at the rail yard, what did you see? I mean, what part of the tank car could you see?
 - A Only the dome.

1	9	Do you know why those tank cars were burned
2	A	1 do not, no.
3.	Q.	Did you ever have any discussion with anyone
-1		about those tank cars?
5	A	Only kiddingly, saying they were storm safety
ΰ		areas.
7	Ų	Do you know what was in those tank cars?
8	A	To my knowledge, there was never anything in
9		there. They were always empty.
10	·	MR. 'MASON: I don't have anything
11		further.
1.2		CROSS EXAMINATION
1 3	BY N	IR. CUNNINGHAM:
14	Q	Mr. Welter, I'm Mr. Pierce Cunningham. I
15		represent Penn Central, one of your former
16		employers. I'm certainly glad to meet you
17		today.
18		I want to ask you just a few questions
19		since you've had such a lengthy stay at the
20		Elkhart yard.
21		Am I correct in assuming that you have been
22		at the Elkhart yard since 1958 continuously
23		until the present time?
24	A	That is correct.
2.5	a	And in summary, you've basically worked for

.____

three employers there, at least. First the New 1 York Central Railway, which became merged with Penn Central sometime. I guess, in the mid 'bus. 3 4 Then you worked for the Penn Central Corporation 5 or the Penn Central Railway. Then when Penn ťì Central went into bankruptcy and transferred the 7 land, you began to work for Conrail. Is that correct? 8 That's correct. 9 10 Have I left any employers out? 1.1 No. Let's look back for a moment to 1958. 1.2 1.3 Do you recall who the terminal superintendent was then? 1 1 15 No, I'm sorry, I don't. A 16 Maybe you would know the terminal superintendent in the late '60s about the time you recall 17 18 having repaired a tank car with a split in it? 19 No. 20 Q Do you know any of the names of the terminal 21 superintendents from 1965 to the present? 22 Because I don't meet them. No.

23

24

25

What is generally the terminal superintendent's responsibility? Are they the chief operating officer on the scene, so to speak?

- A I would say so, yes.
- 2 Q Do they get all the reports and funnel the .
 3 information that's important back to
 4 Philadelphia or the central office; is that your understanding?
 - A I don't know what their responsibility is except dispatching of trains and moving them in the yard.
 - You do recall a Harlan Wiegarnt who was your foreman, as I understand it, in the car shop; is that correct?
 - | A Yes.

 \mathfrak{b}

ĩ

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.7

18

19

20

21

- And it's also my understanding that for the majority of the time that you've been employed at Eikhart, that you were in the car shop; is that right?
 - A That's correct.
 - And I don't want to get into great distinctions,
 but you also were a member of the wreck crew
 which was -- was that associated with the car
 shop too?
 - A That is part of the car shop, yes.
- Q Have you had any other jobs while you've been at Elkhart other than with the car shop?
- 25 | A No.

car.

It's my understanding -- and I would like the record to be clear on this, and feel free to expand whatever way you need to -- that you did not observe any accident with any tank car at any time during the late '60s as a result of any humping operation; is that correct or not?, That is correct. I did not observe that.

- А
- So that any tank car that you may have repaired during that period, as far as the causation of the damage to that tank car, you have no understanding with respect to causation?
- I have no knowledge, no.
- So that any conclusion that would suggest that the tank car was damaged as a result of a humping operation would be conjecture or speculation, correct?

MR. ERMILIO: Objection. conclusion?

BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

With respect to the damage to the tank car would be purely speculative or conjecture?

MR. ERMILIO: Objection. On the part

of who?

On my part? A

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

1.1

1.2

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Q Yes. It is further my understanding that you did not Ŧ 5 know the name of the owner of that tank car; am 6 I correct? You're correct. Nor did you fill out any paperwork with respect Х 9 to that tank car? 10 That's correct. 11 Nor did you know whether or not that tank car 12 ever had anything in it at all; isn't that 13 correct? That's correct. 1.4 15 Nor did you know where that tank car came from; am I correct with respect to that? 16 That's correct. 17 18 Nor where it was going? Q 19 Α That's correct, too. 20 And it is further my understanding that you had

23 A That's correct.

21

22

24

25

Q Let's talk about tank cars. If there was major damage to a tank car, that was often not done at

tank cars; is that correct?

repaired over the years in various ways other

Elkhart at all, was it?

- A We've had cars that had to be loaded, yes.
- And it is my understanding from other witnesses that the reason that significant, major, expensive work was not done was that the permission of the owner of the tank car would be required, because if work was done at Elkhart the owner would be charged for that; is that right?
- 10 A Correct.

1

2

5

'n

8

9

1 1

1.2

13

1.4

1.5

1.6

1.7

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q It is further my understanding from other witnesses -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- that the expensive, major work was generally not done at the Elkhart yard; is that your understanding too?
- A Well, I guess it depends on the disposition of the company, the owner, as to what we did.
- Q There were other facilities that had more equipment so as to take care of major damage; is that correct?
- A Eastern shops were much larger than we are, yes.
- Q And can you name some of those shops?
- 23 A Only that they're in Pennsylvania.
- 24 Q Altoona?
- 25 A Altoona is one, yes.

- Q Now, they did major repairs; is that correct? I've not been there, but that's my A understanding. Also in answer, I think, to Mr. Mason's question, you said, I have no recall of any tank car wrecks. That would be in connection with, { 7 guess, the wrecking crew. 8 And that would have been in '72 to '74: is Ġ that correct? ΙÚ Not in our yard facility, no. 11 And a wreck is something that is generally 12 described as a derailment -- a wreck? 1.3 To me, a wreck consists of any number of cars. 14 A wrecking crew is only called when they have 15 major derailments. That's when they go off the rails? 16 17 Right. Now, this end cap split situation didn't involve 18 19 going off the rail or involve any of the wreck 20 crew, did it? I don't know. I didn't see it. 21 22
 - Q So what you recall was a tank car that had actually been brought into the shop?
 - A Had been brought into the shop, yes.
- 25 Q And who else worked on that?

Scott Barner. i A Q Have you talked with him about this. No, we don't discuss things like that. 3 A When you did that repair, did you actually look. 4 5 inside the tank car? 6 \overline{A} I suppose we peeked in the end of it, yes. I'm asking you if you recall having done that Α yourself? 8 9 A I can't say for sure. Do you recall any particular odor as a result of 10 the work that you did that would have emanated 11 12 from the inside of that tank car? 13 No. Λ 14 Did you observe any contents inside that tank 15 car? 16 A No. 17 Did you ask anybody what brought about -- strike 18 that. 19 Did you ask anybody about the circumstances. 20 of the damage to that tank car that you repaired? 21 22 Not in particular, no. What about not in particular? 23 Q Supervision probably instructed us what he 24

wanted done, and we talked about the car and did

- what we were told to do.
 - Was there anything else about that war or its repair that you haven't told us about?
 - A No.

نی

4

Ś

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q So that's all you know about it then?
- b A That's it.
- And it's my understanding the answer to Mr.

 Mason's question was that you never observed a

 carbon tetrachloride spill at any time from 1958

 to the present at the Elkhart Yard in any place;

 is that right?
- 12 A I have not observed a spill, no.
 - Q There was none in connection with this 1969 event that you can recall; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Do you recall whether or not that tank car, because of the severity of the damage to it, was ever put on a flat car and taken any place else?
 - A No. We repaired what we could on the safety appliances, and the next day we come in and it was gone.
 - Q How big was the split?
 - A Oh, probably 18 inches long and -- I don't know

 -- in the neighborhood of an inch and a half,

 maybe, wide.

3

٠Į

8

18

- Q Was it sufficiently split to allow the contents to have been spilled:
 - A I would say yes.
 - w But you didn't see any spill.
- A I did not.
- 6 W Nor do you know whether it was filled coming in or at anytime at Elkhart; is that correct.
 - A was it full when it entered Elkhart? Is that what you're saying?
- 10 Q Right.
- 11 A I don't know.
- 12 Q And you could not say whether it was empty coming into the yard?
- 14 A No, I could not say that either.
- So as far as you're concerned, it could have been empty coming in?
- 17 | A It's possible.
 - Q Just have no knowledge at all about that?
 - A No, I have no knowledge at all.
- 20 | Q That's what I want to find out.
- Do you know Mr. Melvin Thimler?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q Have you had discussions with him about that?
- 24 A Melvin is retired.
- 25 Q And he lives in Arizona?

I don't know where he lives, but he comes and 1 goes, I guess. 2 3 He worked in the car shop! Yes. А 4 He was a fellow employee of yours! 5 Ü A No. He was management, a supervisor and general 7 foreman. if I told you that I had a conversation with him 8 9 in which he indicated that journal boxes were cleaned and that there was a great deal of care 10 11 utilized with respect to the cleaning of journal boxes by means of the use of catch pans, would 1.2 that be consistent with what you've said today? 13 14 MR. MASON: What time period are we talking about here? 15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: We're talking about at 16 all times when journal boxes were cleaned 17 at the Elkhart yard. 18 Mr. Thimler has a better memory than me then. 19 A Catch pans were used, yes. They were adequate. 20 but there was some spillage, sure. 21 But they tried to do the best they could there; 22 2.3 is that right?

There was not a disregard, was there?

24

2.5

A

Oh, absolutely.

- He indicated to me that there was no real disregard for any rules or sloppy practices with regard to the use of these materials; is that your understanding, too?
- A If that's what he said, that's what he said.
- Q I'm asking you what you know.
- A As far as I know, there was no disregard, yes.
- And it was also not clear to me, apparently not clear to you either, what the materials you talked about for cleaning the journal boxes were?
- A No. I wasn't -- I didn't do the ordering,
 didn't do any purchasing, so I don't know what
 they bought.
- When it came in contact with your skin, it didn't bother you, did it?
- A No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

ΙÜ

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The material that you described, I believe, as a brown-colored cleaner that was used to clean the floor, was different, I think you testified, from the material that was used to clean the journal boxes? Am I right about that?
- A Yes, that's true.

1	ં હ	Could the material that you described as brown
2		in color and a cleaner, could that have been a
3		soap of some kind?
1	.	It was a soapy emulsion of some sort.
5	Ū	I don't want to put words in your mouth.
6		Was it more like a soap to you than a
7		solvent?
8	A	I, don't know.
9		MR. ERMILIO: Are we talking about the
10		floor cleaner?
1.1.		MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
12		THE WITNESS: I don't know. It's
13		something that set for several hours, then
1.4		we washed it off.
1 5	BY N	AR. CUNNINGHAM:
16	ધ	And when you did that, you used boots, did you?
17	A	Some men did. They didn't want to get their
18		shoes damaged or wet.
19	Q	Did it ever bother you, the material that went
20		on the floor that was hosed off?
21	A	Did it ever bother me?
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	In what respect?
2.4	Q .	Physically.
25	A	No.

- 1
- Burn your eyes or anything like that?
- A No.

Α

 \mathbf{A}

Α

Q

- Sting your hands, nothing like that?
- No.

Correct.

measure it?

of most cars.

like that?

buried tank cars?

3

6

You indicated that beginning in 1953 when you first began to work at the yard that you observed two buried tank cars, maybe not the first day you were there but as you began to

work there you found that out; is that right?

What was the size or were the sizes of those

could you see the outline so as to be able to

Well, at that time, that was basically the size

Did you ever climb down in there or anything

Oh, I would guess 40 feet in length.

No. All you could see was the dome.

How did you know they were 40 feet?

Opened the dome and looked in, yes.

Ever look through the dome?

- ġ

- 3
- 1.0
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 1.4
- 1.5
- 16
- 1 7
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

No.

- Anything in there when you looked in?

1 A Empty. What department would have been responsible for the care and maintenance of those tank cars, do 3 4 you know? I don't know. They were within the car 5 compound, but I don't know. 6 7 As far as you know, though, they were never used by the car shop? 8 Never used. :) Are they still there? 1.0 One is gone. They took one out because they 11 12 changed the configuration of the area where it was. I think one is still there. I'm not 13 14 positive about that. How deep were they? 15 Q Well, the only thing that was showing was the 16 17 dome, and they're usually about three foot tall 18 on the top of the car, so it stuck out about a foot out of the ground. 19 What is your estimate as to how deep the hole 20 was to bury them? 21

Are you aware of any leaks that occurred

involving those tank cars?

Ten feet.

No.

22

23

24

25

Α

1	િ	Because as far as you were concerned, nothing
2		ever went in there?
3	A	No. They were empty.
4	i Q	Do you know what their purpose was?
5	A	No, I do not.
6	Q	You mentioned the words "storm safety areas."
7		What does that mean? .
8	A	Just something tossed around by the workers, I
9		guess.
10	Q	Does anybody else know about those tank cars
11		that were buried?
1.2	A	I'm sure everyone did back in those days.
13	ବ	Anybody around now that you know of that had
1.4		anything to do with those tank cars?
1 5	A	No.
16		MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's all I have fo
1 7		now. Thank you. Maybe I'll have some
18		other questions after Mr. Ermilio finishe
19		questioning you.
20		CROSS EXAMINATION
21	ВЧ	MR. ERMILIO:
2 2	Q	Mr. Welter, you said you did not discuss this
2 3		deposition with any one of your co-workers
2 4		before today; is that correct?
25	A	Only as to know who was picked to come up. I

1		knew Scott Barner was and there was a yard
2		person in the office when they called him in
3		too. There was a discussion over the phone as
4		to when I should appear.
วั	Q	Did you discuss the substance of the deposition?
6	A	No.
7	િ	Did you discuss the substance of your deposition
8		with any of your fellow workers or former yard
9		employees who have already been deposed?
10	A	No.
11	હ	There's been a lot of talk today about a tank
1/2		car that was damaged in the late '60s and you
13		worked in repairing that car.
1.4	,	Why do you remember that? Why does that
15		stick out in your mind from your many years in
16		the car shop?
í 7	A	I don't know. I guess because of the crack in
18		the end of it.
19	କ -	Have you ever seen another tank car damaged like
20		that?
21 ·	A	No.
2 2	Q	So that was unique?
2 3	A	You could say unique, yes.
24		MR. CUNNINGHAM: You could say it, Jim,
2 5		but he doesn't say it.

the yard? 1 No. Returning to journal boxes on the cars that you 3 discussed earlier today, you described the air 4 5 and the solvent combination that was used to clean out journal boxes. 6 7 When was that last used? The early '70s. 8 A Can you give me a year approximately? 9 10 '75 or so. And after that, was that particular solvent ever 11 used again? 1.2 13 А No. Was it used --14 15 MR. MASON: Excuse me. Are you talking 16 about whether it was ever used in the car 1.7 shop again? He would only know whether it was used in the car shop. 18 19 'Is that correct? 20 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, it was not ever used in the car shop after that. 21 BY MR. RRMILIO: 22 23 Are you aware of that solvent being used anywhere else at the yard? 24 25 No.

Q

25

You described that as a solvent that was used to

process in the journal boxes as compared to when

you no longer used those two: 1 A Sure, absolutely. Why is that? Well, even if we spill a little oil or residue 4 or grease or dirt or water combination, it just ō seems to adhere to concrete, 6 Would the solvent get onto the concrete? Some. You then discussed the cleaning process for the 9 car shop floor. 10 What would happen to the floor cleaner that 1 1 you applied to the floor? 12 1.3 It would have just be washed off the concrete onto stone or dirt at either end of the 14 building. 15 Then where would it go? lб 17 Apparently, siphon down through the ground. 18 And how about the oil or solvents or grease, 19 where did they go? Whatever residue was there went right along with 20 Α the soap and the water. 21 22 Did you ever see any aerosol cans being used? Q Only spray paint. 23 A How about cleaners? 24 25 No. A

Q	Were there any drums of waste liquids stored in
	the car shop area?
A	I don't know what the storehouse did with them.
۱ý	So you're not aware of any?
A	We might accumulate three or four on a skid, and
	they would dispose of it. They would take them
	away from our immediate area. What they did
<u> </u>	with it, I don't know.
હ	But you're not aware of disposal or emptying of
	the drums at the yard?
A	ŭh, no.
	MR. ERMILIO: I have no other questions
	at this point.
	MR. MASON: I have a few follow-up
	questions.
	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY M	IR. MASON:
₩ .	Mr. Welter, I would like to go back again to
	this tank car business.
	Was there anything or any other repairs you
,	did to that tank car that would suggest to you
,	that those repairs were caused by derailment
	that might have occurred during, humping? I
	mean, I understand you testified that you've
	A Q A

repaired or worked on cars that were damaged by

humping; is that correct! Don't let me misstate 2 your testimony. 3 A I have worked on cars that were damaged in humping, yes. + 5 And did anything you see on this tank car bear (j) ij any resemblance or similarity to those other 7 accidents, those other repairs that were done? Well, a bent grab iron is a bent grab iron. 8 know, it's hard to know how or why it impacted Ý 10 or whether it was impacted. 11 Is the grab iron on the rear of a car? Q 12 They are on the ends of the car, on the sides of 13 the car, on the sides of the tank. They're 14 necessary equipment for someone to climb the 15 car. 16 Was there any damage to the coupling on this 17 tank car? No, not to my knowledge. 18 A 19 Had you been asked to repair the split in the 20 end cap, would your car shop have had the 21 capability to do that? 22 No . 23 So it would have been that the job was too big 24 or --25 They just didn't have the proper facilities to Α

1 do it. 2. Was there anything on the car, a repair order form or anything that would identify who the 3 4 person was that inspected it and found the . 5 split? 6 A Not when I seen the car, no... 7 Was there any other form affixed to the car that 8 might indicate that contents were lost? 9 No. \mathbf{A} 10 The material that was used to clean the car shop 11 floor, do you know whether that could have been 1.2a mixture of soap and solvent? 13 No. I don't know what the chemical composition was. I just know that it was a cleaning fluid 14 15 that we used. 16 So you didn't have any involvement in preparing 1.7 that for use in the car shop? 18 A No. 19 Now, with regard to the buried tank cars, I 20 believe you testified in response to Mr. 21 Cunningham's question that you looked inside the 22 dome. 23 Could you see the entire interior of the 24 tank car? 25 Just what was -- you could see straight down is

1		all.
2	ବ	Could you see the bottom of the car:
3	A	You could see the bottom.
1	Q	Were there any tears or ruptures?
õ	A	Not that I could see.
6	Q	So it appeared to be intact?
ĩ	A	It was intact.
8	િ	Did you shine a light in the tank car?
9	A	No. It wasn't necessary.
10	Q	So just by opening the top, the entire inside
11		was lit up to where you could see everything; is
12		that correct?
13	\mathbf{A}_{\perp}	The hatch opening is basically about, oh, 14 to
14		15 inches in diameter on that age of car. And I
15		just opened up the whole lid. It's like a
16		manhole cover almost.
1 7	Q	When you did the repairs to the tank car that
18		had the split in the end cap, you mentioned that
19		you did that cold; is that correct?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Were those repairs things that you normally
22		would have used a blow torch or heat to
2 3		accomplish, or could have used a blow torch or
24		heat to accomplish?
3.5		·

1 Q And would it have been either normal practice or 2 normal procedure to have used heat? 3 A The nature of man, yes: make it as easy as 4 possible. 5 But you were instructed not to use heat: ϵ We were instructed not to use heat. A 7 Do you know who gave you that particular 8 instruction? 9 Our immediate supervisor. A. 10 Do you recall who that was? No, I don't. 11 A 12 And I believe Mr. Barner was involved in that 13 also; is that correct? That's correct. 14 1 b Is it your opinion that there was a reason why ĉΊ heat wasn't used? Do you have any opinion about 17 that? 18 Why heat wasn't used? 19 Right. 20 I don't know what the reason was they told us 21 not to use heat. 22 Q Do you recall having any opinion or any surprise 23 at that directive, that request? 24 No, not really. A

I would like to ask a couple more questions on

the journal boxes, if you can stand it. 1 2 The purpose again of cleaning the journal boxes, was that to remove debris and accumulated oi 1? At that time, they had periodic maintenance, ō which if I remember right was a four-year or --6 no, I'm sorry, two years on some and three years on others, depending on the disposition of the 8 box and the size and that sort of thing. 9 1.0 when that time frame come due, they were changed. 11 12 Now, if I were to characterize that process as degreasing, would that be accurate? 13 Not really. Some used solvent, some only took 14 air. A lot of times you didn't have to use 15 solvent. 1.6 So how would you determine whether solvent 17 needed to be used? 18 Depending on what residue you found within the 19 20 box after the material was taken out. And that residue would normally consist of what? 21 22 Α Oh, grit, dirt, water. And some grease? 23 . 0

Q This wand that was used in cleaning the journal

24

25

Α

Some oil.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- boxes, did it have an on/off switch?
- A It had triggers, thumb triggers.
- Q Did they leak?
- A No. They were pretty well maintained.
- W Did you ever see a practice where, while someone was cleaning out journal boxes, the wand might go astray and --
- A I can't recall.
 - Now, I believe you stated that the contents of the catch pans that were used in the journal boxes were put into drums?
- 12 A Yes.
- And were those drums stored in the car shop for any period of time?
 - A Like I said, they were taken away by the storehouse. What their directive was, I don't know. I don't know if they loaded them and shipped them somewhere or what.
 - Q Were they open-topped drums?
 - A No. They were sealed. They were when we were using them, but they sealed them.
 - Q But they were open so that catch pans could be poured in them; is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you recall at any time whether those

1		particular drums of solvent were ever knocked
2		over by a forklift or whatever?
3	A	I can't recall.
4		MR. MASON: I have no further
5		questions.
6		MR. CUNNINGHAM: I just have a few
7		more, Mr. Welter
8		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
9	ВУ	MR. CUNNINGHAM:
10	Q	This buried tank car has nothing to do, does it,
11		Mr. Welter, with any drums that may have been
1.2		stored on site; that is, southwest of the car
13		shopî
1.4	A	No'.
15	હે	Were you aware of any drums that had been stored
16		there, southwest of the car shop? Do you know
1 7		where that is?
18	A	That's an open area. Has been for many years.
19	Q	Did you observe any drums in that area?
20	A	No.
2 1	Q	Do you know Mr. Kirk?
2 2	A	Yes.
2 3	Q	Have you had any discussions with him about an
2 4		alleged incident supposedly involving a hundred
25		drums that may have been stored to the southwest
İ		·

1		of the car shop that had been dumped during
2		Conrail ownership? Do you know anything about
3		that?
4		No.
5	ଭ	Do you know anybody that knows anything about
6		that?
7	A	No, I do not.
3	Q	Again, with respect to the tank car repair in
9		the late '60s, does Mr. Barner know any more
10		about that incident than you do?
11	A	I don't know.
12	Q	You don't know who your supervisor was at that
1 3		time?
14	A	No, I don't.
15	Q	And you don't know what year this was?
16	A	It was the late '60s. That's all I can tell
17		you. I don't catalog this stuff. I just work
18	:	there.
19	Q	So it could have been 1966; is that right?
20	A	I can't say yes or no to that.
2 1	Q	Could have been '67, right?
2 2	A	Could have been. In the late '60s is all I can
23		tell you.
2 4	· Q	I mean, you just can't pin it down, right?
2 5	A	I can't put a specific date on it, no.

Could it have been '70 or '713 1 Q 2 A I don't know. 3 Because you can't pin it down, right: 4 Right. Aõ Could have been before '65, right? Q 6 I have no specific date for that. Α 7 Could have been '76, right? It could have been, I suppose. I have no \mathbf{A} ୪ specific date. 10 You're being very honest. I appreciate that 11 very much. 12 It's my understanding that there was no 13 coupling damage to that. Is that how you recall it? 14 15 To my recollection, there was none, no. \mathbf{A} 16 And the split would not be described as a 17 puncture, would it? There was no other damage to the structure of 18 Α 19 the car, no. 20 But it didn't result from another coupling 21 striking the front, did it? 2 **2** It didn't show any visible signs of that. 23 There was no other car that you can recall that 24 was associated with that damage and was 2.5 repaired?

2 whether there was or not. And you indicated also -- and I wasn't clear on this -- that there were no proper facilities to 5 fix the end cap. What do you mean by that? Well, federal regulations don't allow you to A repair something like that. The entire cap has to be replaced. 9 10 Oh, I see what you mean. 11 Do you have any idea, Mr. Welter, in the years that you were in the car shop, how many 12 tank cars you repaired? 13 Pardon? 14 A How many tank cars you would have personally 15 been involved with the repair of? 16 17 A Many. Over a hundred? 18 No, I wouldn't say that many. 19 What would be your best estimate? 20 Oh, 75, 50, somewhere around in there, over the 21 22 years. And if I were to take you back and try to make 23 Q you recall what types of damage there were to 24 those 75 tank cars, you wouldn't have any idea 25

We only had that one car, so I don't know

 \mathbf{A}

A Many times it was running boards, many times just loose running boards, loose grab irons. things like that that are safety appliances that have to be secure so someone can use them safely.

Or if you went back and looked at every one of those 75, you would have a difficult time telling me what parts of that tank car would have been damaged and what parts were okay; isn't that true?

A Oh, yes. I couldn't tell you that.

Just one other thing. With regard to the journal boxes, you recall that I told you that I discussed this with Mr. Thimler.

He stated that he would always place a pan under the journal and the box. And this pan, he says, caught approximately 90 percent of the dirt, solvent, and waste, and whatever else might have come out of the journal box, that the excess material was dumped in a waste container and carried away.

Is that basically your understanding of what happened during those years when journal boxes were cleaned?

1 A Basically correct, yes. 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have nothing 3 further. Thank you. Į. RECROSS-EXAMINATION õ BY MR. ERMILIO: Returning to the solvent that was used to clean 7 the journal boxes, you told me it was no longer 8 used after the early '70s or '75, do you know 10 whether that solvent was used after '76, during 11 Conrail time? 12 Not to my knowledge. We didn't use it as a 13 product that we had in the past, no. To my knowledge, it was not being used anywhere. 14 So the use of that solvent terminated in '75 or 15 6 16 prior to '76? 17 I would say so, yes. A Returning once again to that damaged tank car. 18 In response to Mr. Mason's questions at the 19 20 beginning of the day, you said your best 21 recollection was that that occurred in the late 2 **2** '60s. 23 Is that your best recollection? It is. 24 Α 25 Is there any reason why you say it was the late

'60s rather than last year or any other time? 1 2 $\mathbf{A}_{.}$ No, no particular other reason. MR. ERMILIO: I have no other questions. õ MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have nothing 6 further. MR. MASON: I have just one more question. 8 FURTHER EXAMINATION 9 10 BY MR. MASON: I thought you said earlier that after '75 that 11 12 there was still a need to clean journal boxes on older cars, cars that hadn't had the new 13 bearings. Is that correct? 14 Α We cleaned them, yes. We still do today. 15 16 are a few running around with journal boxes 17 still. And any time after 1975, would the air/solvent 18 19 mixture continued to have been used for those journal boxes? 20 No. 21 Again, what was used after that to clean the 22 23 boxes? At that time, they were using a self-contained 24 25 pad within a material, sponge within a soft-

1	cloth material, and they were pre-lubricated.
2	We took out whatever was there, cleaned the box
3	with a rag, and inserted the new pad, and we
4	were back in business.
5	MR. MASON: Nothing further.
6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's all.
7	MR. ERMILIO: Thank you. That's all.
8	We'll read and sign.
9	(Deposition concluded at 11:00 o'clock a.m.)
10	+ + + 000 + + +
1 1	
12	
13	Stanley Edward Welter
14	
1 5	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before
16	me this day of,
17	A.D.,
18	
19	
20	Notary Public, State of Indiana
21	County of Residence:
22	My Commission Expires:
23	
24	

2_

CERT1FICATE

I, Lois A. Schoenbeck, a Notary Public in and for the County of Porter and State of Indiana. do hereby certify there appeared before me at the said time and place STANLEY EDWARD WELTER, who was first duly sworn by me to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth to questions propounded at the taking of the foregoing deposition.

I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings at the said time and place; that the proceedings were then reduced to typewriting from my original shorthand notes; and that the foregoing typewritten transcript is a true and correct record thereof.

I further certify that the deposition was read and signed in the presence of a duly authorized officer.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this _____ day of

A.D. 1990.

Lois A. Schoenbeck, Notary Public State of Indiana, Porter County My Commission Expires 08-19-94